On October 7, 2009, Belgium and the People's Republic of China signed a new Income Tax Treaty and related Protocol. This new treaty replaces the 1985 treaty as amended by the 1996 Protocol. The Analysis was updated in January 2014 by the International Tax Services team, Ernst & Young, Hong Kong.

**Article 1 Personal Scope**

Persons who are resident of one or both States.

**Article 2 Taxes Covered**

Belgium
- The individual income tax;
- The corporate income tax;
- The income tax on legal entities;
- The income tax on non-residents;
- The supplementary crisis contribution including any prepayments on these taxes and any surcharges on these taxes and prepayments

China
- The individual income tax;
- The enterprise income tax;
- including any prepayments on these taxes and any surcharges on these taxes and prepayments

**Article 3 General Definitions**

“Belgium”: The territory of the Kingdom of Belgium including the territorial sea and any other area in the sea or in the air space within which Belgium exercises sovereign rights or jurisdiction in accordance with domestic or international law with respect to exploration for and exploitation of the natural resources of the seabed and subsoil and the waters above these.

“China”: The People's Republic of China. It includes its territorial sea in which Chinese tax laws apply and areas beyond the territorial sea over which China may, in accordance with domestic and international law, exercise sovereign rights for the purpose of the exploration and exploitation of the natural resources of the seabed and subsoil and the waters above these.

“Person”: Includes an individual, company or any other body of persons.

“Company”: Any body corporate or any entity which is treated as a body corporate for tax purposes.

“Nationals”: All individuals possessing Belgian or Chinese nationality and a legal person, partnership, association established in accordance with the laws in force in that State.

**Article 4 Resident**

“Resident”: Any person who is liable to tax in one of the Contracting States by reason of his domicile, residence, place of general management or any other criterion of a similar nature.

**Residence: Individuals**

Paragraph (2) states that where an individual appears resident in both States the tax authorities must come to a mutual agreement as to his tax residence. However, the contemporaneous Protocol states that the tiebreaker rules as set out in Article 4, paragraph 2 of the UN Model Convention are to be used. These are:
- He will be deemed to be a resident of the State in which he has a permanent home. If he has a permanent home in both States, he will be deemed to be resident in the State with which his personal and economic relations are closer (centre of vital interests);
- If unable to determine the State where the centre of vital interests lies, then resident of the State in which he has a habitual abode;
• If he has a habitual abode in both States, then resident in the State of which he is a national; and
• If a national of both States or of neither of them, then the competent authorities must settle the question by mutual agreement.

**Domestic law**

**Belgium**

Individuals who are registered in the National Register of Individuals (*Registre national des personnes physiques/Rijksregister van de natuurlijke personen*) are deemed to be residents of Belgium, unless they provide evidence to the contrary. The place where a taxpayer's household is established has repeatedly been construed by case law as a decisive factor in determining whether the taxpayer is a resident for Belgian individual income tax purposes and statute law now includes the rule that married taxpayers treated as such are deemed to have their fiscal domicile where their household is established (691 CIT, Article 3, §2, as amended by the Law of July 6, 1994).

There is also an economic test: an individual is considered to be a resident of Belgium for income tax purposes if he or she has his or her domicile or the seat of his or her wealth (*siège de la fortune/zetel van fortuin*) in Belgium. Factual elements taken into account in determining an individual's residence for tax purposes include:

(i) The place where the individual has a permanent home available to him or her;
(ii) The place where the individual's household is maintained;
(iii) The place with which the individual's personal links are closest; and
(iv) The place where the individual has the centre of his or her economic interests and the centre of his or her wealth.

**China**

An individual is tax resident in China if he habitually resides there. This is taken as presence for more than one calendar year. The precise extent of exposure to and rates of Chinese tax depends on whether the individual has been present in China for more than five years without spending more than 90 days in aggregate or 30 consecutive days per calendar year outside China.

**Residence: Companies**

If a company appears resident in both States, e.g. because one determines residence according to the place of legal incorporation and the other according to place of management then it will be considered tax resident in the State in which its place of general management is situated. This implies an emphasis on day-to-day management rather than strategic management.

**Domestic law**

**Belgium**

A company will be resident if it has its statutory seat, principal establishment or its seat of management or administration in Belgium.

**China**

Companies incorporated in China are considered tax resident. Additionally, under the Corporate Income Tax Law which became effective as of January 1, 2008, China now determines company residence according to the place of effective management. This is interpreted as the exercise of the overall management and control of production, business, employees, finance and assets of a company (Articles 2 and 3 of the CITL). Thus day-to-day control as well as strategic management must be considered and the definition is very broad.

**Partnerships and fiscally transparent enterprises**

Residence is to be determined as for companies.

**Domestic law**

**Belgium**

Entities without separate legal personality are not subject to corporate income tax. Such entities include:

(i) Civil companies which have not adopted the form of commercial companies;
(ii) Temporary associations (*associations momentanées/tijdelijke vereniging*) formed for the purpose of carrying out one or more specific operations; and
(iii) "Undisclosed" associations, i.e. associations between persons who manage operations in their own name and undisclosed persons who have an interest in such operations (*association en participation/vereniging bij wijze van deelneming*). Companies whose corporate purpose consists in engaging in commercial acts, but that have not filed their incorporation deeds (or, in the case of certain types of companies, excerpts thereof), lack legal personality and are considered to be companies according to civil law.

In addition, as indicated above, certain entities that have legal personality for company law purposes are deemed not to have a separate legal personality for the application of income taxes (i.e.
agricultural companies that have not elected to be subject to corporate income tax, EEIGs and EIGs, and associations of co-owners having legal personality). Thus any entity with legal personality are subject to corporate income tax. This would include all forms of limited partnerships.

**China**
The default position is that partnerships are treated as transparent unless an election is made for the partnership to be taxed as a separate entity.

**Article 5 Permanent Establishment**

*See treaty text*

According to the New DTA, a building, construction, assembly or installation site or supervision in connection with such project constitutes a PE but only if the building, construction, installation site or the supervision lasts more than 12 months (instead of 6 months in the 1985 treaty).

Another significant improvement in the New DTA relates to the creation of a service PE, which changes the threshold from “six months within any 12-month period” to “183 days within any 12-month period.” This change is particularly beneficial to Belgian companies sending personnel to China to perform onsite services. The Chinese tax authorities generally interpret the term “six-month” period as contained in the 1985 treaty to be six calendar months and only a consecutive 30-day period without any physical presence in China can be excluded as a one-month period. Accordingly, if a Belgian employee is physically present in China for one day during any given month, such month will count as one month in determining the six-month period. However, replacing the term “six-month period” with the “183 days within any 12-month period” changes the preceding interpretation and enables Belgian entities to apply the exemption for a full 183-day period.

This Article defines the term “permanent establishment”. The profits of an enterprise of one of the Contracting States can only be taxed on an arising basis in the other Contracting State to the extent that they are attributable to a permanent establishment in that other State.

This Treaty broadly uses the OECD and UN definitions: Three types of permanent establishment are envisaged: A fixed place of business, a service permanent establishment and an agency permanent establishment.

**Fixed place of business**

In this context a “permanent establishment” means a fixed place of business through which the business of the enterprise is wholly or partly carried on. Types of establishment particularly included follow the UN Model and involves:
- A place of management;
- A branch;
- An office;
- A factory;
- A workshop;
- A mine, an oil or gas well, a quarry or any other place of extraction of natural resources; and
- A building site, construction or assembly or installation project which lasts for more than six months. Supervisory activities in connection with such activities are also included. Note the departure here from the more usual period of 12 months, reflecting the use of the UN Model Convention.

According to the OECD Commentary, a “fixed place of business” means to be established at a distinct place with a certain degree of permanence, however, it could be a pitch in a market place, or even part of the premises of another enterprise. There is no requirement that the premises be owned. The Commentary offers the example of an employee of Company A who is allowed to use an office at the premises of Company B. This could create a permanent establishment for Company A in the country of Company B if the arrangement persists for long enough and if the employee is carrying out activities which are more than merely “preparatory or auxiliary” (see below).

The OECD considers that to be fixed, a place of business must be at a specific geographic point. However, if the PE consists of mechanical equipment only, then there is no requirement for mechanical equipment to be fixed to the soil.

As to what constitutes a reasonable period of time to give the necessary degree of permanence, most countries will not consider a presence of less than six months to give rise to a fixed place. The usual exclusions from the definition of permanent establishment are given so that the following will not constitute a permanent establishment:
- (a) The use of facilities solely for the purposes of storage, display or delivery of goods or merchandise belonging to the enterprise;
- (b) The maintenance of a stock of goods or merchandise belonging to the enterprise solely for the purpose of storage, display or delivery;
(c) The maintenance of a stock of goods or merchandise belonging to the enterprise solely for the purpose of processing by another enterprise;
(d) The maintenance of a fixed place of business solely for the purpose of purchasing goods or merchandise, or of collecting information, for the enterprise;
(e) The maintenance of a fixed place of business solely for the purpose of carrying on any other activity of a preparatory or auxiliary character; and
(f) Any combination of the above providing that the overall activity of the fixed place of business is of a preparatory or auxiliary character.

To apply this Article, it is necessary to be able to make a distinction between functions that are core to a business and those that are merely preparatory or auxiliary. What constitutes core functions will vary from one business to the next. However, any fixed place of business from which the business is partly managed will constitute a permanent establishment even if its function otherwise appears to be preparatory or auxiliary. Also, if services are rendered to other companies within the corporate group or to third party customers then this would not be preparatory or auxiliary because activities only count as preparatory or auxiliary if they are carried on “for the enterprise” itself.

Note that despite incorporating many features of the UN Model Convention, this Article at paragraph 4(b), unlike the UN Model, excludes “delivery of goods or merchandise” from the definition of what may be considered a permanent establishment. Thus a warehouse would not normally be considered a permanent establishment under this Treaty.

**Service permanent establishment**

This Treaty follows the UN Model in specifically providing that services provided by an enterprise may result in a permanent establishment, even though there is no fixed place of business. The furnishing of consultancy and other services, whether through an enterprise’s own employees or by other personnel hired for the purpose where a project (and any connected project) will give rise to a permanent establishment where the activities last for more than six months within any 12-month period. There is little guidance available as to what is meant by “same or connected” but the Commentary on the OECD Model suggests that commercial connections rather than geographic connections are paramount.

Where the services are merely preparatory or auxiliary, as set out above, no permanent establishment will arise.

**Agency permanent establishment**

Dependent agents may constitute a permanent establishment. Where a person is acting on behalf of a resident of a Contracting State and has, and habitually exercises, in a Contracting State an authority to conclude contracts in the name of the resident, that resident is deemed to have a permanent establishment in that other State, unless the activities of the agent are limited to those listed at (a) to (f) above. Where the activities of the agent are merely preparatory or auxiliary, or where the agent is an independent agent (e.g. a general commission agent acting in the ordinary course of his business) the presence of the agent will not give rise to a permanent establishment unless the agent works wholly, or almost wholly on behalf of the foreign enterprise.

**General**

The fact that a company has a subsidiary in the other Contracting State does not mean that the subsidiary is a permanent establishment of that company, unless it binds the parent company in contract as per the rule for dependent agents. This rule applies generally to groups of companies.

**Domestic law**

**China**

The definition of an agency permanent establishment has been widened by Article 3 of the CITL to include business activities carried out for the principal other than purchases and sales. Storage or delivery of goods will also give rise to an agency permanent establishment. Regarding a fixed place of business, the Implementation Rules, effective January 1, 2008 provide that the definition of a permanent establishment (stated as being an establishment or site) will encompass:

- Any establishment from which management or business operations are conducted;
- A representative office;
- An establishment conducting management or business operations;
- A factory, farm or site for exploration of natural resources;
- A site from which services are provided;
- Construction, installation and assembly sites;
- Sites for making or repairs;
- Exploration sites;
- Other engineering projects;
• Other establishments and sites for conducting production and business operations.

The general rules is that a permanent establishment will exist if a site or project lasts for more than six months in a 12-month period.

Under Circular 403 Interpretation and implementation notice for HK's Double Tax Arrangement a permanent establishment will exist if services have been provided in China for more than six consecutive months in any 12-month period. Counting starts from the arrival of the first employee in China and only ceases when the last employee leaves the country.

**Article 6 Income From Immovable Property**

*See treaty text*

The general rule is that income derived by a resident of a Contracting State from immovable property in the other State may be taxed in that other State. Thus, for instance, if a resident of one State owns a building in the other State and receives rent, that rent may be taxable in the other State. This treatment also applies to income from immovable property used by an enterprise and to income from immovable property used for the performance of independent personal services.

Immovable property is defined as per the domestic law of the State in which the property is located but it will include livestock and equipment used in agriculture, forestry, general property rights and rights for the working of natural resources.

There is no extension giving the Situs State any right to tax income from movable property of a permanent establishment.

**Article 7 Business Profits**

*See treaty text*

Only profits actually arising from a permanent establishment may be taxed by the source State. Although this Treaty uses elements of the UN Model as well as the OECD Model, the recent OECD work on attribution of profits to permanent establishments is relevant to this Treaty. The aspects of Article 7 of this Treaty which are based on the UN Model are those concerned with the deductibility of expenses in arriving at the taxable profits. The Commentary on the UN Model relating to the rules on deductibility of expenses makes it clear that the extra provisions are present so that all necessary definitions and clarifications on this matter are set out in the text of the treaty rather than merely in a Commentary. The central aim of Article 7 in this Treaty is identical to that in the OECD Model Convention: The profits attributable to a permanent establishment are those which would be earned by the establishment if it were a wholly independent entity dealing with its head office as if it were a distinct and separate enterprise operating under conditions and selling at prices prevailing in the regular market (per paragraph 2 of Commentary on Article 7, UN Model).

The starting point for the computation will be the branch accounts. Provided there is symmetry in the amounts recorded and in the methods of valuation applied in recording the transactions in the books of the different parts of the enterprise, the accounts will normally be an acceptable basis for attributing profit to the permanent establishment. However, any assumptions used in maintaining branch accounts, for instance, an assumption that the branch acts as principal in all cases, when in fact it only acts as intermediary would lead to a downwards adjustment in profit allocated to the branch.

The usual provision providing for the deduction of expenses from the profits of the permanent establishment in accordance with the rules laid down by domestic law is present. Deductions for executive and general administrative expenses are permitted whether incurred in the State where the permanent establishment is situated or elsewhere. There are some particular rules:

As per the UN Model Convention, no deductions are permitted for certain payments by the Head Office or any of the enterprise's other offices:

- Royalties, fees and other similar payments in return for the use of patents, unless they represent reimbursement for actual expenses;
- Commission or fees for specific services performed or for management; and
- Interest on money lent to the permanent establishment (except in the case of a banking enterprise).

On the other hand, no amounts charged by the permanent establishment to the head office, or any other office of the enterprise for these items are to be included in the taxable profits of the permanent establishment.

Only profits actually arising from a permanent establishment may be taxed by the source State. If an enterprise has both a permanent establishment in a State and also derives other income, say, dividends or interest unconnected with the permanent establishment, then the dividends or interest may only be taxed in accordance with Articles 10 and 11 of the Treaty and not this Article.
The OECD, in 2008, published its final report on the attribution of profits to permanent establishments (July 17, 2008) and updated the Commentary on Article 7 of the Model Treaty. When interpreting a tax treaty, it is generally agreed that the latest version of the OECD’s Commentary on the Model Treaty should be used. These notes follow the 2008 version of the Commentary. The “authorised OECD approach” to attributing profits to a permanent establishment now requires that there is a two step process.

Firstly, a functional and factual analysis should be carried out, along the lines set out in the OECD’s transfer pricing guidelines, to establish the economically significant activities and responsibilities undertaken by the permanent establishment. This will involve establishing the rights and obligations arising out of transactions between the permanent establishment and third parties, e.g. the sales revenue arising from independent customers generated by the permanent establishment as opposed to by the head office. If the permanent establishment takes the form of a factory, then it would assume the obligation to pay for the raw materials which it uses. The OECD then recommends that “significant people functions” relevant to the attribution of economic ownership of assets are identified to support the attribution of economic ownership of assets of the enterprise to the permanent establishment. Economic ownership is defined as the right to income from an asset or the right to depreciate it. Tangible property should be attributed to the location where it is in use. Economic ownership of intangibles rests with the location in which the risks associated with the intangibles is borne: For instance, if an enterprise owns a patent for a particular drug which is sold by distributor companies in many countries, the economic ownership would rest in that part of the enterprise responsible for commissioning the research to develop the drug and which would bear the losses if medical trials failed. A further set of “significant people functions” are then to be identified: This time, those relevant to the assumption of risks so that an allocation of risks borne by the enterprise can be made to the permanent establishment. For instance, road building equipment may be economically owned by a permanent establishment in Country A but the head office, located in Country B, may have the power to determine on which road building projects the equipment is used and hence the head office bears the risk associated with profits or losses arising from the equipment. The more risks that are managed by the permanent establishment, the higher the share of the profit of the enterprise to be attributed to it. The OECD’s 2008 Report looks for the place of active decision taking rather than mere “rubber stamping”. Note that no such distinction between asset management and risk assumption functions is required in the case of enterprises in the financial sector, because it is considered highly likely that these functions would be carried out by the same people.

Secondly, taking into account the picture built up in the functional and factual analysis, the profits of the permanent establishment must be determined. Although this is relatively simple in the case of transactions with third parties, transactions and dealings with other parts of the enterprise must be determined using the rules laid down in the OECD’s Transfer Pricing Guidelines. This means that goods and services provided by head office must carry an arm’s length mark up. Pricing policies should be properly documented, which in practice may prove troublesome as firms may not take the same care in documenting the terms of transactions within the firm as they would with third parties. In determining the profits attributable to the permanent establishment, part of the enterprise's interest costs on its borrowings should be allocated to the permanent establishment. The amount of capital needed to support to functions carried out by the permanent establishment on the assumption that it is a separate entity must be calculated. Then, this total theoretical capital must be broken down into debt and equity (or “free capital” in OECD terms). The greater the risks undertaken by the permanent establishment, the higher the proportion of its notional capital that will be regarded as “free capital”. Several methods of establishing the split between “free capital” and debt capital are suggested, including the use of thin capitalisation practices in the State in which the permanent establishment is located. Once the amount of notional debt capital has been determined, an allocation of the enterprise's interest liabilities can be made to the permanent establishment. Note that only actual interest liabilities can be allocated. If the enterprise as a whole has paid no interest to external lenders, then there can be no allocation of interest liability to the permanent establishment. The only exception to this rule is where the permanent establishment is involved in treasury dealings with other parts of the enterprise. Whilst this may well be the case in banking enterprises, it would be unusual in other enterprises. Generally, the interest rates used and the terms of the notional loans to the permanent establishment must be such as would be found between parties dealing at arm's length. This aspect of the AOA ought to be acceptable in interpreting a treaty such as this one which uses elements of the UN Model: In the introductory remarks to the Commentary on Article 7 of the UN Model, at paragraph 4 “...an allocable share of such payments, e.g. interest and royalties, paid by the enterprise to third parties should be allowed.”
When deciding what transactions should be recognised between the permanent establishment and other parts of the enterprise, the OECD recommends that the only internal transactions which can be recognised in arriving at the permanent establishment's profits are those which relate to real and identifiable events. These would include the physical transfer of goods, the provision of services, the use of intangibles and the transfer of financial assets. Whilst the internal records (e.g. the branch accounts) are the starting point for identifying these transactions, the true test is whether there has been an internal dealing of economic significance. The OECD's 2008 report suggests the following tests are used when considering whether an internal dealing should have any effect on the profits of a permanent establishment:

- Is the documentation consistent with the economic substance of the internal dealings?
- Are the arrangements such that they are not too different from dealing which one group company might have with a fellow group company? For instance, credit periods should be similar in similar circumstances.
- Are the dealings consistent with the OECD principles for attributing profits to permanent establishments?

Allocations of head office expenses, e.g. for strategic management or centrally managed support functions such as payroll may be set against the profits of the permanent establishment, but the arm's length principle must be observed.

The allocation of profits to dependent agent permanent establishments
A dependent agent is not part of the taxpayer enterprise and will file his tax returns independently. Normally the enterprise will make payments to the agent for his services. The question is: Should the host State merely tax the profits of the agent (the "single taxpayer approach") or should there be an additional charge on the enterprise which is using the services of the agent? The amount of the charge would depend on the excess of the enterprise's profits over the amount paid to the agent which was attributable to the activities of the agent. For instance, a dependent agent may be paid for the sales he procures on a commission bases, but the selling enterprise may make a profit on those sales even after taking into account the (arm's length) commission paid to the agent. The OECD recommends that States should always consider whether the enterprise has made a profit in respect of business transacted via the agent which is in excess of amounts paid to the agent. Hence the host State may tax both the dependent agent and the foreign enterprise.

Alternative method of attribution of profits
This Treaty permits an allocation of the profits of the enterprise to a permanent establishment based on an apportionment of the total profits of the enterprise. This is sometimes known as the unitary, or indirect method of apportionment. It is only acceptable to use this method if it has been customary to do so and in any case, the outcome must be in accordance with the result which would be obtained by using the Authorised OECD Approach (AOA) as set out above.

As is usual no profits shall be attributed to a permanent establishment by reason of the mere purchase by that permanent establishment of goods or merchandise for the enterprise. The same method of attribution is to be used year by year unless there is good and sufficient reason to the contrary.

Domestic law
Belgium
Belgium broadly follows the OECD guidelines using the distinct and separate enterprise approach. Belgium revised its domestic law in 2006 to permit a notional interest deduction based on a percentage of the company's equity (share capital plus retained profits). This deduction may be claimed by non-resident companies proportionately in computing profits attributable to their Belgian permanent establishments. The deduction (max 6.5%, determined by reference to the return on government bonds) is not available to finance or management companies or their permanent establishments.

China
The general rule is that permanent establishments are taxed on Chinese source income only. The rules of the Enterprise Income Tax Law are applicable to permanent establishments. The term used in domestic law is "establishments or sites". It has been the practice to limit the use of permanent establishments by foreign enterprises in favour of corporate forms and joint ventures although this appears to be changing. Note that representative offices have been widely used and there are detailed rules for determining the taxable profits of such an office.

Article 8 Shipping and Air Transport
See treaty text.
This provision relates to shipping and air transport.
**Article 9 Associated Enterprises**

See treaty text

This contains the usual OECD provisions regarding transfer pricing. Associated enterprises must adopt the arm's length principle in their dealings with each other, and to the extent that they do not, a Contracting State may make an upwards adjustment to taxable profits. There is no specific provision for a corresponding downwards adjustment by the other State. However, any double taxation resulting from transfer pricing agreements may be eliminated by agreement of the two States using the Mutual Agreement article of this Treaty.

**Article 10 Dividends**

See treaty text

The new treaty provides a 5% withholding tax on dividends if the beneficial owner of the dividend is a company that has held directly at least 25% of the capital of the company paying the dividends for a consecutive 12-month period prior to the dividend payment. In all other cases, a 10% dividend withholding tax applies.

It should be noted that Belgium has a domestic withholding tax exemption for dividends paid to a parent company that is located in a treaty jurisdiction as well as foreign pension funds; whereas under Chinese domestic law, a 10% withholding tax rate applies.

Dividends distributed by a Chinese company to a Belgian parent company benefit from Belgian participation relief provided the Chinese company meet the "subject-to-tax" test. As a consequence, in some cases (e.g., a company qualifying for certain tax holidays), Chinese dividends may not qualify for Belgian participation relief. Under the new treaty, however, this "subject-to-tax" test does not apply to dividends received from low-taxed or untaxed operations in China which are effectively engaged in the active conduct of a business in China; consequently, the New DTA expands the scope of the Belgian participation exemption.

The New DTA added a specific anti-abuse provision. In this respect, the provisions of this article may not be able to apply if it was the main purpose or one of the main purposes of any person concerned with the creation or assignment of shares or other rights, to take advantage of this article by means of that creation or assignment.

**Domestic law**

**Belgium**

Belgium, with effect from January 1, 2007 changed its domestic law so that no withholding tax is to be charged on dividends paid on shareholdings of at least 15% of the paying company's capital where the shareholder is tax resident in a country with which Belgium has a double tax treaty. There is a one-year minimum holding period but relief from withholding tax may be claimed in advance of this requirement being met. There is no requirement that the recipient must also be the beneficial owner of the dividend. This change to domestic law is designed to bring all Belgium's treaties into line with its more recent treaties in this respect.

The rate for dividends paid to individuals is 25%.

**China**

As per Article 4 of CITL which came into effect on January 1, 2008: 10% withholding tax. This replaces the previous exemption from withholding tax for dividends. If a company becomes resident of Luxembourg or China for the principal purpose of enjoying benefits under this Treaty, the company does not get the benefit of this Article (see Article 4.5).

**Article 11 Interest**

See treaty text

The maximum withholding tax on interest under both the New DTA and the 1985 treaty is set at 10%. No withholding tax is assessed in certain specific cases (e.g., interest paid to the Chinese or Belgian State or a political subdivision and certain interest paid to government-owned banks).

Belgium's domestic law generally imposes a 15% withholding tax on Belgian sourced interest but many exemptions are available; for example, for qualifying Belgian finance companies and holding companies. China assesses a 10% domestic withholding tax with certain exemptions.

The New DTA added a specific anti-abuse provision. In this respect, the provisions of this article may not apply if the main purpose or one of the main purposes of any person concerned with the creation or assignment of the debt claim in respect of which interest is paid, was to take advantage of this article by means of that creation or assignment.

**Domestic law**

**Belgium**
Belgium’s domestic law generally imposes a 15% withholding tax on Belgian sourced interest but many exemptions are available; for example, for qualifying Belgian finance companies and holding companies.

**China**

Withholding tax of 10%, unless paid in connection with a permanent establishment which the recipient has in China. Interest on certain governmental loans may be exempt. If a company becomes resident of Luxembourg or China for the principal purpose of enjoying benefits under this Treaty, the company does not get the benefit of this Article (see Article 4.5).

**Article 12 Royalties**

*See treaty text*

The New DTA provides for a 7% withholding tax on royalties, which is reduced from a rate of 10% under the current treaty. This is an important improvement to the 1985 treaty and may create further opportunities for Belgian royalty companies, taking into consideration the Belgian domestic tax sparing credit and provided that the 7% royalty withholding tax is effectively levied in China under the treaty. Belgian domestic law offers a tax credit of 15/85 on the gross royalty received, minus the foreign withholding tax. This change results in a higher credit in Belgium than the amount effectively withheld in China. This credit can be used to offset the tax on royalty or other income generated by the Belgian company. The 7% rate included in the new treaty is also significantly lower than the Belgian domestic royalty withholding tax rate and the Chinese statutory rate of 15% and 10%, respectively.

The New DTA added a clause of specific anti-abuse provision under the article of royalty. In this respect, the provisions of this article may not be able to apply if it was the main purpose or one of the main purpose of any person concerned with the creation or assignment of shares or other rights, debts to take advantage of these new articles.

**Domestic law**

**Belgium**

15% although if no reduction is available through operation of a tax treaty, a deduction of 15% of the gross amount relating to expenses will be deductible before applying the withholding tax.

**China**

Withholding tax at a rate of 10%. If a company becomes resident of Belgium or China for the principal purpose of enjoying benefits under this Treaty, the company does not get the benefit of this Article (see Article 4.5).

**Article 13 Capital Gains**

*See treaty text*

The treaty retains most of the 1985 treaty provisions and therefore capital gains arising from the disposition of a substantial shareholding (25% and more) may be taxed by the country where the company whose shares are disposed of is a resident.

The New DTA, however, provides for an exception to this rule if the shares are substantially and regularly traded on a recognized stock exchange and the disposition per year is 5% or less of the quoted shares.

In Belgium, such substantial shareholder taxation is only relevant for individuals since, for Belgian corporations, a full participation exemption on qualifying capital gains on shares is available. The usual rule that gains derived by a resident of a Contracting State from the disposal (alienation) of immovable property as defined in Article 6 and situated in the other Contracting State may be taxed by that State applies.

The term “alienation” is used in connection with events giving rise to capital gains. This will include normal disposals of assets and also events such as exchange of assets, expropriation, gifts and the passing of assets to another on death. Not all States levy tax in all these situations, but the meaning of the term “alienation” is sufficiently wide to give them the right to do so if their domestic law provides for a charge to tax in a particular situation.

Also applicable is the usual rule that gains on alienation of movable property forming part of the assets of a permanent establishment may be taxed by the Contracting State where the permanent establishment is situated, including gains from the alienation of the permanent establishment, whether or not as part of the alienation of the whole enterprise. Thus, for example, the sale of a wholly owned company resident in State A and owned by a resident of State A could give rise to a tax charge in State B if that company has a permanent establishment in State B.
Gains from the disposal (alienation) of shares in a company whose underlying assets consist mainly (whether directly or indirectly) of immovable property situated in the other State may be taxed by the State in which the property is located.

Gain from the disposal (alienation) of shares in any other company where the shares disposed of represent a participation in the company of at least 25% may be taxed in the State in which the company is resident.

The Article then departs from the UN Model: All other gains may be taxed in the State in which the property disposed of is situated. The wording of paragraph (6) appears to award the taxing rights solely to this State.

**Domestic law**

**Belgium**

Belgium charges its non-resident income tax on gains on disposals of immovable property situated in Belgium and on gains on sales of shares in Belgian resident share dealing companies.

**China**

Non-residents are taxed on gains on the disposal of Chinese property via a withholding tax.

**Article 14 Independent Personal Services**

*See treaty text*

This Treaty has separate Articles governing the taxation of income from permanent establishments (see Articles 5 and 7) and income from professional services. This Article provides that where a resident on one of the States has a “fixed base” in the other State, income in respect of professional services attributable to that fixed base may be taxed in the country in which it is situated. Thus a Belgian accountant with an office in China will be taxable in China on profits attributable to the Chinese office. The attribution of profits is dealt with in the same way as for other business profits under the provisions of Article 7. This treatment will also apply to professional services performed in the other State by individuals present in the other State for more than 183 days in aggregate in the calendar year concerned. The term ”professional services” is defined to include especially independent scientific, literary, artistic, educational or teaching activities as well as the independent activities of physicians, lawyers, engineers, architects, dentists and accountants.

**Article 15 Dependent Personal Services**

*See treaty text*

Salaries, wages and other similar remuneration derived by a resident of a Contracting State in respect of employment are taxable only in that State unless the employment is exercised in the other State. If so, remuneration derived from the other State is taxable in the other State. However, the other State (the source State) will not tax provided:

- The recipient is present in the other State for no more than 183 days in aggregate in the calendar year concerned;
- The remuneration is paid by, or on behalf of, an employer not resident in the other State; and
- The remuneration is not borne by a permanent establishment or a fixed base which the employer has in the other State.

The Protocol extends these provisions to remuneration received by a person in respect of personal activity as partner of a company (other than a company with share capital).

The purpose of this Article is to ensure symmetry in taxation: If the employer is not taxable in a State, because it is neither resident there nor has a permanent establishment there then it will not receive any tax deduction in that State for wages and salaries paid. Wages and salaries paid by the employer in respect of short term employment postings of employees to that State are correspondingly exempted from tax in that State in the hands of the employee.

**Treatment of stock options**

The treatment of employee stock options is not expressly dealt with and can be difficult as entitlement to the benefit taxable as a result of the option may have accrued partly whilst the employee was working temporarily in one of the States but there may be no taxable event, such as exercise of the option until the employee returns to the other State. A State is permitted to tax that part of the taxable benefit that can be related to the portion of the entitlement period spent working in that State.

Determining the extent to which an employee stock option benefit is derived from employment exercised in a particular State has to be done on a case by case basis, taking into account all relevant facts and circumstances. Whether a period of employment would be considered in allocating taxing rights between two States would depend on whether the entitlement to exercise the stock option was
contingent upon continuing employment during that period. If an option was granted with a right to exercise, say, in three years time, regardless of continuing employment then time elapsing between grant and exercise would not count towards an apportionment of the taxing rights over the benefit in the absence of any other factors. Periods of employment before the option was granted may be considered in the apportionment of taxing rights if the grant of the option was contingent upon a minimum period of employment or attainment of performance objectives.

Once the option is exercised, any further benefit to the employee, normally in the form of a capital gain on a disposal of the shares at a profit, will be dealt with under Article 13 and so probably only taxable in the State where he is resident.

If the shares do not vest irrevocably on exercise of the option (e.g., because they are liable to forfeiture upon certain conditions) then the increase in value of the shares until they do vest irrevocably will also be dealt with as employment income and subject to the same considerations as the benefit arising between grant and exercise.

The method of apportioning stock option benefits recommended by the OECD is by reference to the proportion of the number of days during which the employment was exercised in one State to the total number of days of employment from which the entitlement to the stock option benefits were derived. Thus if an employee was required to work for an employer for 520 days in total during a particular time period to qualify for the benefits of the stock option and was sent to work in the other State for 260 days out of that period, then half of the stock option benefits would be taxable in each State.

**Domestic law**

**Belgium**

Normally, persons registered in Belgium's civil register (National Register of Individuals) or those with their seat of wealth in Belgium are considered tax resident. For non-resident persons Belgium applies its non-resident's income tax.

Provided an individual's principal economic interests remain outside Belgium special tax treatment is available to persons recruited abroad or seconded to Belgian enterprises. For such persons, passive income is not taxable in Belgium and there is a tax-free allowance of approximately EUR11,000 (increased to approximately EUR30,000 for persons employed in Belgian Co-ordination Centres and in R&D centres). Certain allowances paid by the employer are tax free, including cost of living, housing and tax equalisation payments. School fees reimbursed by the employer are not taxable. The other main benefit is that only salary relating to time spent in Belgium is taxable there.

This special tax regime for certain foreign executives temporarily working in Belgium is provided for not in the Income Tax Code, but in a Circular Letter issued by the Belgian tax authorities on August 8, 1983. A special application is necessary and it is vital that the executive is in Belgium on a temporary basis only.

**China**

The extent of the taxation of expatriates depends on whether the stay is more than a year and whether it is more or less than five years. If the stay is for less than 90 days, then Chinese source income is taxable, except that employment income not borne by Chinese employer is not taxed. (This corresponds to the rules set out in the Treaty, where the period is 183 days.) If the stay is for more than 90 days but less than a year, then Chinese source income, but not foreign income, is taxed in China. If the stay is for less than five years, then foreign income is usually exempt from Chinese tax. Only for stays of more than five years does full Chinese taxation apply. Employer provided accommodation, travelling expenses, removal costs, and general household costs for expatriates are tax-free.

China also permits an enhanced personal tax allowance.

**Article 16 Directors' Fees**

See treaty text

These fees and other similar payments may be taxable in the country in which the company is resident as well as that in which the director is resident. However, if a director is paid for performing day-to-day functions of a managerial or technical nature then remuneration for those services will be treated as salary from employment under the provisions of Article 15 (this caveat is normal in Belgian treaties).

**Article 17 Artists and Sportsmen**

See treaty text

The usual OECD Model rule is followed: Income derived by a resident of one State as an entertainer, such as a theatre, motion picture, radio or television artist, or a musician, or as an athlete, from their
personal activities as such exercised in the other State may be taxed in the other State. This also applies where the income accrues not to the entertainer or athlete himself, but to another person, e.g. a management company or a troupe, team or orchestra forming a legal entity or to an artist company. If the income is derived from activities performed under a cultural exchange program between the two States then the income will only be taxable in the State where the performer is resident.

**Article 18 Pensions**

See treaty text.

These are taxable only in the State where the recipient is resident. However, as per the UN Model, pensions paid from a State's social security system are taxable only in the paying State. Also, pensions and other payments made under a public welfare scheme in order to supplement pension benefits under a social security system may be taxed in the paying State.

**Article 19 Income from Government Service**

See treaty text.

This provision relates to income from government service.

**Article 20 Students**

See treaty text.

Payments which a student, business apprentice or trainee, who is, or was immediately before visiting a Contracting State, a resident of the other Contracting State and who is present in the visited State solely for the purpose of his education or training is not be taxed in the visited State. There is no requirement that the payments should arise outside the visited State.

**Article 21 Other Income**

See treaty text.

Any income not dealt with in the preceding Articles is taxable only in the State of residence but if it arises in the other State then the other State may tax it according to domestic law. Thus the default position regarding the two States is that the State of source has primary taxing rights. Income not arising in either State may only be taxed by the State of residence. These rules follow the UN Model Treaty.

There is an express provision that income in respect of rights or property which is connected to a permanent establishment is taxed under Article 7 as the income of that permanent establishment. Income from immovable property remains taxable under Article 6 in the State in which the property is located.

**Article 22 Elimination of Double Taxation**

See treaty text.

**Belgium**

Credit method for dividends, interest and royalties.

Otherwise exemption with progression.

Rule to recoup tax relief where losses of PE set against Belgian taxable profits.

Belgian participation exemption applies so that no Belgian tax on 95% of dividends where at least 10% of shares held or minimum value met, minimum holding period one year.

Tax sparing provisions may apply: deemed tax credits:

- Dividends: 15%
- Interest: 10%
- Royalties: 15%

**China**

Credit method, with credit for underlying tax on dividends where at a Chinese company owns at least 10% of the paying company's shares.

**Article 23 Miscellaneous Rule**

See treaty text.

Nothing in the New DTA affects the right of either Contracting State to apply its domestic laws and measures on the prevention of tax evasion and avoidance, whether or not described as such, provided that doing so does not give rise to tax contrary to the New DTA.

**Article 24 Non-discrimination**

See treaty text.

The usual OECD provisions, that nationals of one of the States shall not be subjected in the other State to any taxation or any requirement connected with tax, which is other or more burdensome than the taxation and connected requirements to which nationals of the other State in the same circumstances are or may be subjected.
There is an extension so that the principle of non-discrimination also applies to persons not resident of either Belgium or China. It applies to all taxes, not merely those listed in Article 2.

**Article 25 Mutual Agreement Procedure**

See treaty text

The usual provision founding the OECD Model is used but with different time limits. Where a person considers that the actions of one or both of the States result or will result for him in taxation not in accordance with the provisions of this Treaty, he may present his case to the competent authority of the State of which he is resident or if not resident in either Belgium or China, to the competent authority of which he is a national. This is so irrespective of the remedies provided by domestic law. The time limit for bringing a claim is three years from the date of first notification of the disputed tax liability.

The two tax authorities will try to resolve the case by mutual agreement. They will also try to agree on definitions of terms not specifically defined in the Treaty and on general matters of interpretation of the Treaty.

Thus this Article removes the need for the tax authorities in each State to go through diplomatic channels: They may simply contact each other directly. The mutual agreement procedure is commonly used to decide matters concerning income and expense allocations and transfer pricing.

**Article 26 Exchange of Information**

See treaty text

The scope of this Article is quite wide ranging in that it provides for exchange of such information as is “necessary for carrying out the provisions of this Convention”, for the purposes of domestic law of the two States and also for the prevention of tax evasion. The exchange of information is not limited to that only concerning persons who are residents of one of the States.

The Article includes the usual provisos relieving the States from any obligation to:

- Carry out administrative measures at variance with the laws or administrative practices of either State;
- Supply information which is not obtainable under the laws or in the normal course of the administration of either State; and
- Supply information which would disclose any trade, business, industrial commercial or professional secret or trade process, or information the disclosure of which would be contrary to public policy (ordre public).

There is no requirement to supply any information that the requested State would not need for its own tax purposes. Neither is there any bar on declining a request solely due to secrecy concerns.

**Article 27 Members of Diplomatic Missions and Consular Posts**

See treaty text

This provision relates to diplomats.

**Article 28 Entry into Force**

See treaty text

This provision relates to the entry into force of this treaty.

**Article 29 Termination**

See treaty text

This provision relates to the termination of this treaty.